

---

**Functional Programming**

<https://proglang.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/teaching/functional-programming/2024/>

---

**Exercise Sheet 8**

In this exercise we are going to look at monad transformers. For this purpose we need two libraries:

1. the `transformers` library contains the `MonadTrans` type class and instances for certain monads, e.g. `StateT`, `ReaderT`, and `WriterT`.
2. the `mtl` library builds on top of the `transformers` package and provides type classes for each monad transformer, which automatically handles `lifting` and allows working with monad transformers in a more abstract style.

In the first exercise, we will work with the `transformers` library, which behaves in the same way as we saw in the lecture. In the second exercise, we will use the `mtl` library to rewrite the programs from the first exercise in a more idiomatic way.

We recommend using separate files for the two exercises, as the `mtl` library is supposed to be used instead of `transformers` and hence there is a certain amount of name overlap between the modules of both libraries.

**Exercise 1 (The transformers package)**

The `transformers` library behaves as we have seen in the lecture. If we have a monad transformer stack, e.g. `StateT Int IO`, then we can use `StateT` computations directly, but to use `IO` computations, we need to inject them into `StateT Int IO` by using `lift`.

1. Make yourself familiar with the `transformers` library by checking out the package documentation: <https://hackage.haskell.org/package/transformers>

Of particular interest to us are

- `Control.Monad.Trans.Class`, which contains the `MonadTrans` type class;
  - `Control.Monad.Trans.Except`, which contains a transformer for the `Either e` monad;
  - `Control.Monad.Trans.State`, which contains a transformer for the `State s` monad. The `StateT` monad transformer comes in two flavors: `lazy` and `strict`, which determines whether lazy evaluation should be used to thread the state through the computations or not. Most of the time, you want to use the `strict` version, where `put` computations will force their argument to be evaluated, as otherwise it can be easy to run into memory leaks.
2. Rewrite the todo-list program from sheet 4 exercise 2.3 such that it uses the `StateT [String] IO` monad instead of explicitly threading the todo-list `[String]` through the recursion.

You can base your code on the solution for sheet 4, and for example start the implementation as:

```
todo :: IO ()
todo = evalStateT run [] where
  run :: StateT [String] IO ()
  run = ...
```

3. In the code supplement you can find a variation of the implementation of the while-language that we saw in exercise sheet 6.

It differs in two ways from the original presentation:

- the original presentation had a `VError` constructor for values, which was used to signal runtime errors, e.g. if the program tried to add an integer and a bool. The new presentation does not model runtime errors as values, but instead the interpreter can fail with an exception by returning `Either RuntimeError Val`.
- the new presentation additionally has a `print` expression `EPrint`, which can print values to the terminal, so `eval` also needs `IO`.

The interpreter in the code supplement is written directly in the `IO` monad and deals with exceptions and state manually, i.e. without using them as monads. Your job is to rewrite the interpreter such that a single monad transformer is used, which supports state, exceptions, and `IO`, e.g.

```
type EvalM a = ExceptT RuntimeError (StateT Env IO) a

eval :: Expr -> EvalM Val
```

## Exercise 2 (The `mt1` package)

Working with the `transformers` library is annoying for two reasons:

1. Only the computations of the outer monad can be used directly, and the actions of the inner monads need to be injected via `lift`. For example, to use an `IO` a computation as a `ExceptT RuntimeError (StateT Env IO) a` computation, we need to `lift` it first under the exception monad and then we need to lift it again under the state monad. This creates a lot of syntactic noise and requires counting.
2. The monad transformer stack used for a function tends to also infect helper functions. For example, if we have a function using the `ExceptT RuntimeError (StateT Env IO) a` monad, but a helper function only requires to change the `Env` state, but does not need to throw exceptions or perform `IO`, then we have two suboptimal solutions: Either we also use `ExceptT RuntimeError (StateT Env IO) a` for the helper function, which allows to use it easily in the outer function, but fails to capture that the helper function doesn't need `IO` or exceptions, or we could use `State Env` for the helper function, but then we would need to write extra boilerplate code to inject it into the `ExceptT RuntimeError (StateT Env IO) a` computation of the outer function.

The `mt1` library solves both these problems by defining a separate type class for each monad transformer. For example, for the state monad there is the class

```
class Monad m => MonadState s m where
  get  :: m s
  put  :: s -> m ()
  ...
```

The idea is that this class is instantiated for each monad transformer stack which contains a `StateT`, and then functions like `get` and `put` can be used directly and are automatically lifted to the right position in the monad transformer stack, which solves the first problem of the `transformers` library.

Furthermore, it allows writing functions more abstractly without fixing the exact monad transformer stack. For example, instead of writing

```
printState :: StateT Int IO ()
printState = do
  s <- get
  lift $ print s
```

we can write

```
printState :: (MonadState Int m, MonadIO m) => m ()
printState = do
  s <- get
  print s
```

The second function can be used with any monad which supports an `Int` state and `IO`. This implies, that we can use it in a `StateT Int IO ()` monad, but also in larger monad transformer stacks like `ExceptT String (StateT Int (ReaderT Bool IO)) ()`.

Your tasks are

1. Make yourself familiar with the `mtl` library by checking out the package documentation: <https://hackage.haskell.org/package/mtl>

Of particular interest are again

- `Control.Monad.State.Strict`, which contains the `StateT` monad and the `MonadState` type class;
- `Control.Monad.State.Except`, which contains the `ExceptT` monad and the `MonadError` type class;
- `Control.Monad.IO.Class`, which contains the `MonadIO` type class. This module is actually defined in the standard library (the `base` library) but conceptionally also belongs to `mtl`. As the standard library was not written with `MonadIO` in mind, you still need to use the `liftIO` function from the `MonadIO` type class, to lift `IO` actions into a monad supporting `IO`. We recommend to write wrapper functions for the required regular `IO` functions, e.g.:

```
putStrLn' :: MonadIO m => String -> m ()
putStrLn' = liftIO . putStrLn
```

2. Rewrite the todo-list program and the interpreter from the first exercise using the `mtl` approach. Eliminate all `lifts` by using the functions provided by the monad type classes, and work with type class constraints instead of fixing a particular monad transformer stack as shown for `printState` above.